Oral Presentation Judging Form - Research Review 2009

Presenter’s Name: Mr. Ms. (circle one) _____________________________ Dept. _____  
Presentation: ______ Check if a _____ No-show -or- _____ Non-student presenter (not eligible for a prize)

Comments:
☐ "Same-Department Judge" - Please include comments specifically evaluating the research component of the presentation.
☐ "Different-Department Judge" - Please focus on how effectively the presenter communicated their research to someone outside the field.

NOTE: Comments will be used in the case of a tie or in order to rank the award winners; they also provide valuable feedback to presenter.

Please evaluate the following with 5 to 1 as a Strength (5) to Weakness (1).

Content:

1. **Introduction**: clear objectives and background; motivation for research understandable for a general audience

2. **Methodology**: clearly explained for general audiences

3. **Findings & Conclusions**: supported by presented information, clear summary

Presentation:

4. **Presentation Style**: voice clear, loud enough, voice modulations appropriate, eye contact maintained; appropriate pace & energy; overall stage presence

5. **Organization and Timing**: logical sequence, appropriate time management of talk

6. **Audiovisuals**: well organized, appropriately used throughout talk, simple to understand, not cluttered

Context and Connection:

7. **Coherency of Proposed Research/Results**: logical, clear, pertinent

8. **Understanding of Subject**: mastery of study and related areas, clear and effective response to questions

9. **Originality and Significance**: knowledge of significance and originality; impact in Earth Sciences

Overall Score:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Award Winner</th>
<th>Consider for Award</th>
<th>Good but not great</th>
<th>Fair / Adequate</th>
<th>Poor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Points: