Poster Presentation Judging Form - Research Review 2009

Judge Signature: ________________________________ (Name will be removed before form is available to presenter)

Presenter’s Name: Mr. Ms. (circle one) ________________________________ Dept. _____
Presentation: _____ Check if a _____ No-show -or- _____ Non-student presenter (not eligible for a prize)

Comments:
☐ “Same-Department Judge” - Please include comments specifically evaluating the research component of the presentation.
☐ “Different-Department Judge” - Please focus on how effectively the presenter communicated their research to someone outside the field.
NOTE: Comments will be used in the case of a tie or in order to rank the award winners; they also provide valuable feedback to presenter.

Please evaluate the following with 5 to 1 as a Strength (5) to Weakness (1).

Content:

1. Introduction: clear objectives and background; motivation for research understandable for a general audience
2. Methodology: clearly explained for general audiences
3. Coherency of Proposed Research Findings & Conclusions:
   logical, pertinent & supported by presented information, clear summary

Presentation:

4. Display aesthetics: creativity in display, visually interesting, professional caliber presentation
5. Format: logical flow of sections, poster arrangement and organization
6. Understanding of Subject: presenter has good grasp of subject, able to deal with questions
7. Ability to Stand Alone: were author absent, is poster thorough, understandable and effective
8. Originality and Significance: knowledge of significance and originality; impact in Earth Sciences

Overall Score:  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Award Winner</th>
<th>Consider for Award</th>
<th>Good but not great</th>
<th>Fair / Adequate</th>
<th>Poor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Points: